Dear Madam/Dear Sir,

The following questionnaire is a part of a midterm evaluation in the Interdisciplinary Doctoral School at the University of Warsaw. This questionnaire aims to obtain information about the cooperation between the supervisor and the Doctoral student as well as the second supervisor.

Please complete this questionnaire following the guidelines below. The results of the questionnaire are strictly confidential. Access to the results will be restricted to the members of the evaluation committee. The results will enable the midterm evaluation committee to complete the evaluation process.

Director of the IDS and IDS Council

**Name of the supervisor**, to whom the survey applies:

……………………………………………………………………………………………………..

1. **How would you rate the supervisor's substantive support in terms of**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – I had no need for it)*

1. developing the competence to conduct research in an interdisciplinary environment

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. developing an Individual Research Plan

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. identifying the literature

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. planning research (including determining whether the doctoral student's assumptions are achievable within the specified timeframe of study at MSD)

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. ongoing review of the progress of the research work

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. writing scientific texts

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **How would you rate the organisational support of the supervisor in terms of**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – I had no need for it)*

1. acquainting a doctoral student with the structures of the University that support scientific research

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. acquainting with possibilities offered by the University in the field of scientific research

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. acquainting with possibilities offered by external institutions in the field of research funding

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. building and developing a network of national and international cooperation with other scientists/ scientific organisations

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. participation in scientific conferences

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. developing competences related to publishing scientific articles (e.g. assistance in selection of scoring journals, implementation of peer review principles)

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. giving an opinion on applications/requests necessary in the course of education

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. cooperation with the authorities of the Doctoral School

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **How would you rate other support from the supervisor in relation to the**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – I had no need for it)*

1. motivation for doctoral work

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. solving research problems

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. solving administrative problems

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **How would you rate your supervisor's support for your academic teaching practice**

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – I had no need for it/I do not teach)*

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **How do you assess the mutual cooperation between supervisors in the supervision of your dissertation?**

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent, 0 – I can not judge it)*

1 2 3 4 5 6 0

1. **How do you assess the cooperation within the team of the supervisors and Doctoral student in respect of the Doctoral student’s progress in general, in respect of**:

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent)*

1. academic cooperation

1 2 3 4 5 6

1. organisational cooperation

1 2 3 4 5 6

1. creating the right atmosphere for cooperation

1 2 3 4 5 6

1. **How do you assess your commitment to the cooperation with the supervisors in respect of your progress towards a doctorate in general?**

*(Please choose one answer between 1 and 6. 1 – very poor, 6 – excellent)*

1 2 3 4 5 6

1. **If you have further remarks that are relevant for this mid-term evaluation, please type them below.**

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

**Name and surname of the doctoral student** submitting the questionnaire

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………